Date: Tue, 8 Nov 94 04:30:27 PST

From: Ham-Digital Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-digital@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Ham-Digital-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: List

Subject: Ham-Digital Digest V94 #372

To: Ham-Digital

Ham-Digital Digest Tue, 8 Nov 94 Volume 94 : Issue 372

Today's Topics:

Use of the word "hacker" in Amateur Radio Newsline

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Digital-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Digital Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-digital".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 8 Nov 1994 08:12:47 GMT

From: karn@unix.ka9q.ampr.org (Phil Karn)

Subject: Use of the word "hacker" in Amateur Radio Newsline

In article <1994Nov7.194650.5740@pixar.com>, bruce@pixar.com (Bruce Perens)
writes:

 $\mid>$ I was disappointed to see that the latest Amateur Radio Newsline used the $\mid>$ word "hacker" in a sense that meant "criminal". See newsline #895, article

I think this battle is lost. I try to use alternate terms like "cracker" or "intruder", but I find myself occasionally using "hacker" in the pejorative sense. Oh well.

Phil

End of Ham-Digital Digest V94 #372 ************